Reclassified as Public pursuant to RAC004/F00004 of 3 June 2024



CONFIDENTIAL 23/05/2025 14:28:00 PUBLIC

In:	KSC-BC-2020-06
	Specialist Prosecutor v. Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep
	Selimi and Jakup Krasniqi
Before:	Trial Panel II
	Judge Charles L. Smith, III, Presiding Judge
	Judge Christoph Barthe
	Judge Guénaël Mettraux
	Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge
Registrar:	Dr Fidelma Donlon
Filing Participant:	Specialist Prosecutor's Office
Date:	23 May 2025
Language:	English
Classification:	Confidential

Prosecution Response to 'Urgent Joint Defence Request to Access Confidential Material' (RAC004/F00001)

Specialist Prosecutor's Office	Counsel for Hashim Thaçi
Kimberly P. West	Luka Mišetić
Counsel for Victims	Counsel for Kadri Veseli
Simon Laws	Rodney Dixon
	Counsel for Rexhep Selimi
	Geoffrey Roberts
	•

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Specialist Prosecutor's Office ('SPO') does not object to Defence access to the *Shala*<sup>1</sup> and *Mustafa*<sup>2</sup> filings identified in the Request,<sup>3</sup> subject to the considerations outlined below.<sup>4</sup>

## II. SUBMISSIONS

2. The SPO notes that (i) the requested material has been specifically identified,<sup>5</sup> (ii) there is an overlap between the *Shala* and *Mustafa* cases and the current proceedings, (iii) the underlying evidence and information has been disclosed, as appropriate, pursuant to Rules 81(1)(b) and 102-103,<sup>6</sup> (iv) Trial Panel II's established practice is to consult with the panel who ordered the protective measures prior to issuing a decision,<sup>7</sup> (v) applicable protective measures would continue to apply *mutatis mutandis* in *Thaçi et al.*, and (vi) the relevant filings would retain their confidential status in the present proceedings.<sup>8</sup> The SPO also observes that Victims'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Specialist Prosectuor v. Shala, KSC-BC-2020-04.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Specialist Prosecutor v. Mustafa, KSC-BC-2020-05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Urgent Joint Defence Request to Access Confidential Material, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004/F00001 ('Request').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The applicable law has been set out in previous access decisions. *See e.g.* Decision on Prosecution Request Concerning Access to Confidential Versions of Judgments from Case KSC-BC-2020-05, KSC-BC-2020-06/F02276 (KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC001/F00002), 30 April 2024 ('Access Decision'), paras 5, 7-8, 11; Oral Order of 23 April 2025, KSC-BC-2020-06, pp.26135-26138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Request, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004/F00001, paras 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Rules of Procedure and Evidence Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, KSC-BD-03/Rev3/2020, 2 June 2020 ('Rules'). All references to 'Rule' or 'Rules' herein refer to the Rules, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *See e.g.* Oral Order of 23 April 2025, KSC-BC-2020-06, pp.26136-26137; Access Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F02276, paras 5, 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *See similarly* Access Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F02276, para.14; Oral Order of 23 April 2025, KSC-BC-2020-06, p.26138.

Counsel does not object to the Defence Request.<sup>9</sup> For these reasons, the SPO has no objection to the Defence accessing the identified filings in the *Shala* and *Mustafa* cases.<sup>10</sup>

3. In relation to specific aspects of the Defence Request, the SPO further notes the following:

- *i) Prosecutor v. Shala*
- KSC-BC-2020-04/F00558/RED:<sup>11</sup> The SPO does not object to Defence access to the confidential version of the filing and Annexes 1 and 4.<sup>12</sup>
- KSC-BC-2020-04/F00585/RED:<sup>13</sup> The SPO does not object to the Defence accessing the confidential filing, but notes that there are no annexes associated with this document.<sup>14</sup>
- *ii) Prosecutor v. Mustafa*
- KSC-BC-2020-05/F00370: The SPO observes that it does not have access to this strictly confidential and *ex parte* filing.

## III. CLASSIFICATION

4. This filing is confidential pursuant to Rule 82(4) of the Rules. The SPO does not object to its reclassification as public.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Victims' Counsel's Response to Urgent Joint Defence Request to Access Confidential Material, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004, 21 May 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Request, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004/F00001, paras 6, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Public Redacted Version of Victims' Counsel's Submissions pursuant to the Order of 4 May 2023 setting further procedural steps for the presentation of evidence by Victims' Counsel with six confidential annexes, KSC-BC-2020-04/F00558RED, 30 June 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Defence requests access to 'at least' Annexes 1 and 4. *See* Request, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004/F00001, para.9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Public Redacted Version of Defence Response to the Victims' Counsel's Submissions pursuant to the Order of 4 May 2023 setting further procedural steps for the presentation of evidence by Victims' Counsel with six confidential annexes, KSC-BC-2020-04/F00585RED, 14 July 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Defence requests access to the confidential version of the filing and 'access to the annexes'. *See* Request, KSC-BC-2020-06/RAC004/F00001, para.9.

Reclassified as Public pursuant to RAC004/F00004 of 3 June 2024



IV. CONCLUSION

5. In consideration of the foregoing, the SPO does not object to Defence access to the requested filings.

Word Count: 573

Kimberly P. West Specialist Prosecutor

Friday, 23 May 2025

At The Hague, the Netherlands.